Swarm DISC Weekly Report 2020/43: 2020/10/19 - 2020/10/25

Abstract : This is the **Swarm Data Innovation and Science Cluster** (Swarm DISC) Weekly report on Swarm products quality, covering the period from 19 October to 25 October 2020.

Doc. No : SW-RP-SER-GS-010

Author :

Anna Mizerska and Filomena Catapano on behalf of Swarm DISC Team

Approval :

Jerome Bouffard
ESA – EOP/GMQ

Distribution :

ESA/ESRIN EOP-GMQ
ESA/ESRIN EOP-GM Swarm MM
Swarm DISC Management Team
Swarm DISC subcontractors

ESA/ESTEC Swarm PLSO
ESA/ESOC Swarm FOS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AMENDMENT POLICY

This document shall be amended by releasing a new edition of the document in its entirety. The Amendment Record Sheet below records the history and issue status of this document.

AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET

ISSUE	DATE	REASON
1.0	10 Nov 2020	First issue

1.   Introduction

This document refers to the activities carried out in the framework of the ESA Sensor Performance, Products and Algorithms (SPPA) Office [RD. 01].

Chapter 1 gives an overview on the outcomes from the annual Swarm Data Quality Workshop and reports the information on the current operational configuration and its future improvements. It also contains the list of used reference documents.

In Chapter 2, the Section 2.1 gives an overview of the general quality status of the Swarm mission instruments and products, while the main observations of the week are summarized in the Section 2.2.

The document also includes information on data quality for the three Swarm spacecraft, inferred from automated HTML quality reports, which are produced on daily basis for each product. If interested in accessing the reports via web or FTP, please contact the Swarm DISC team at the following email address: <swarm@eo-sppa.org>. Such data quality reports represent the main component of the Routine Quality Control performed by ESA SPPA (Chapter 3). A description of the implemented quality checks is given in [RD. 02], and references therein.

Based on specific findings of the routine quality control, or requests from other entities (i.e. Swarm Payload Data Ground Segment (PDGS), Flight Operation Segment (FOS), Mission Management, Post-Launch Support Office (PLSO), Expert Support Laboratories (ESL), Quality Working Groups (QWG), and user community), investigations on anomalies can be triggered. Preliminary characterisations on such anomalies are given in Chapter 4.

Information on Swarm Level 1B products can be found in [RD. 03].

This weekly report is based on QC methods and diagnostics that tend to be continuously evolved and improved throughout the mission lifetime, reporting on the data quality, product evolutions, and status of the instruments on weekly basis.

1.1   Annual Swarm Data Quality Workshop

Due to the Covid-19 pandemic situation, the 10th Swarm Data Quality Workshop (DQW#10) has been a full online event from 5 to 9 October 2020.

The DQW#10 focussed on Swarm payload performance, data product status, multi-mission synergies as well as the possibility of future near-real-time products, to support the development of Space Weather studies. The orbital evolution of the Swarm mission was also extensively discussed with the scientific community.

The DQW#10 was structured in six thematic sessions including talks, webinar and discussion time slots aiming at paving the way for future Swarm-based R&D activities and operational services in the domain of Earth sciences and near-Earth space physics.

More info on the Swarm DQW#10 outcomes, summary and recommendations will be published soon.

1.2   Current Operational configuration of monitored data:

Processor	
Name	**Version**
L1BOP	v3.22
L2-Cat2	v01.19
Products	
Name	**Baseline**
L0 inputs	02
L1B MAGNET and PLASMA	05
L1B ORBATT and ACCELE	04
L2-Cat2 EEF	02
L2-Cat2 IBI, FAC and TEC	03
Others	
Input auxiliary files	S/C A, CCDB 0023 (14/02/2020)
	S/C B, CCDB 0023 (14/02/2020)
	S/C C, CCDB 0024 (14/02/2020)
	ADF 0101
MPPF-CVQ	v03.09 (28/02/2020)

1.3   Recent evolutions:

An improved version for both L1B and L2 (FAC, IBI, and TEC) Operational Processors was transferred into operation on 10/02/2020.

The main improvements introduced in L1B data processing chain are:

- The generation of 1Hz ASM data during ASM burst mode sessions
- The adjustment of VFM scaling evolution in time (only for Swarm Alpha and Charlie since January 2018)
- Generation of Plasma (Langmuir Probe) data when Magnet data are missing
- Parameters "a_centr" and "a_GG" moved from ACCx_PR_1B product to SC_xDYN_1B product

Please note that the L1B data improvements have a negligible impact on L2 IBI, FAC and TEC products. Moreover, the porting to an updated operational system was performed for both L1B and L2 Operational processors, without any impact on Swarm L1B and L2 data content. For more information please refer to the Technical Note [RD .05].

1.4   Reference documents

The following is a list of documents with a direct bearing on the content of this report. Where referenced in the text, these are identified as RD.n, where 'n' is the number in the list below:

[RD. 01]   Sensor Performance, Products and Algorithms (SPPA), PGSI-GSOP-EOPG-TN-05-0025. Version 2.3.

[RD. 02]   Swarm MPPF-CVQ Monitoring Baseline Document, ST-ESA-SWARM-MBD-0001, Issue 1.7.

[RD. 03]   Swarm Level 1B Product Definition, SW-RS-DSC-SY-0007, Issue 5.23.

[RD. 04]   Olsen, N., H. Luhr, C.C. Finlay, T.J. Sabaka, I. Michaelis, J. Rauberg and L. Tøffner-Clausen, The CHAOS-4 geomagnetic field model, Geophys. J. Int. 197, 815–827, 2014

[RD. 05] https://earth.esa.int/documents/10174/1514862/Swarm-L1B-and-L2-operational-processors.pdf

2.   Summary of the observations

2.1   Changes in the general status of Swarm instruments and Level 1B products quality

- An on-board anomaly occurring on Swarm Alpha caused data gap on all L0, L1A and L1B data products starting from 18 October 2020 at 11:48 UTC, onwards.
  The anomaly is related to a malfunctioning of the on-board telemetry module.
  The Swarm Team is investigating the anomaly with the scope to restore the nominal performance and minimise the loss of science data.

- The ASM (Absolute Scalar Magnetometer) on board Swarm Alpha is commanded in burst mode from 11/10/2020 23:50 UT to 19/10/2020 00:10 UT.

- The ASM (Absolute Scalar Magnetometer) on board Swarm Bravo is commanded in burst mode from 18/10/2020 23:50 UT to 26/10/2020 00:10 UT.

2.2   Relevant observations of the week 43 (19/10 - 25/10)

During the monitored week no events have been found.

3. Routine Quality control

3.1 Gaps analysis

- Data gaps registered for Swarm Alpha starting from 18 October 2020 at 11:48 UTC, onwards. The gaps are due to an on-board anomaly related to a malfunctioning of the on-board telemetry module. All L0, L1A and L1B data products are affected by this issue.

3.2 Orbit and Attitude Products

The relevant parameters that have been monitored are:

- Position difference between calculated Medium Accuracy orbits (MODx_SC_1B) and on-board solution (GPSxNAV_0). Threshold values for such differences have not been assessed yet: we have just monitored the average values and maximum variations within the week. For the time being we evaluated an anomaly should be raised if one (or more) of the following conditions occurs:

  - The average difference on a given day exceeds the position accuracy requirement for the mission (1.5 m),

  - The variability around the average is quite high: standard deviation threshold has been arbitrarily chosen to be twice the position accuracy requirement for the mission (2-sigma = 3 m).

  - At least 4-5 spikes are observed on a given day, exceeding +/- 50 m.

- Visual inspection of Star Tracker characterisation flags (STRxATT_1B)
- Deviation of the quaternion norm from unity (deviation threshold = +/- 10^{-9})
- Visual inspection of Euler Angles derived from quaternions.

3.2.1 Position Statistics

In Figure 3-1 and Figure 3-2 one can see the statistics of the differences between MOD and on-board solution positions for S/C A, B and C respectively. Figure 3-1 shows a cumulative trend of the maximum daily standard deviation for the past 30 days of operations of the MOD-NAV difference, while Figure 3-2 shows the daily maximum difference, in absolute value, of the MOD-NAV difference, always for the past 30 days of operations.

Figure 3-1: Plot of the standard deviation of the difference between MOD and NAV solutions for all satellites. Plot covers last month of operation.


Figure 3-2: Plot of the maximum difference of the absolute value of the difference between MOD and NAV solutions for all satellites. Plot covers last month of operation.

3.2.2 Attitude observations

3.2.2.1 Swarm A

Nominal. Nothing to report.

3.2.2.2 Swarm B

Nominal. Nothing to report.

3.2.2.3 Swarm C

Nominal. Nothing to report.

3.3 Magnetic Products

For the magnetic products, the weekly monitoring consists of:

- ASM instrument monitoring: quartz frequency (nominal range: [2.949E7 – 2.950E7] Hz) and ASM temperature (temperature range shall be: [-30;+50] °C, Rel. Variation shall not exceed: 0.1 °C/sec).

- VFM instrument monitoring: temperatures (Rel. Variation shall not exceed: 0.1 °C/sec).

- Visual inspection of daily time series of magnetic field intensity F, B_{NEC} and B_{VFM}. Looking for gaps (or zero values in case of MAGx_LR_1B products), out-of-threshold values (i.e. exceeding +/- 60000 nT), and other strange features. Map plots of F and B_{NEC} for the whole week are then displayed.

- Monitoring of the ASM-VFM known anomaly: visual inspection of $|B_{VFM}|$ - F taken from MAGx_CA_1B products and recording of daily maximum variations and standard deviations. If +/- 1 nT are exceed on a given day, an alert is raised. Map plots of the residuals are shown along with weekly time series of the residuals with and without the "dB_Sun" correction: in fact, at least a part of the discrepancies found in the measurements between ASM and VFM are modelled through a stray field (dB_Sun) that is a function of the orientation of the VFM wrt Sun.

- Comparison of magnetic data (B_{NEC}) with a model (Chaos7).

3.3.1 VFM-ASM anomaly

- S/C A – violation of:

    o SWARM A data is not obtained until the further notice

- S/C B – violation of:

    o VFM-ASM residuals threshold on 19/10, 20/10, 21/10, 22/10, 23/10, 24/10, 25/10;

    o mean value of residuals threshold on 19/10, 20/10, 21/10, 22/10, 23/10, 24/10, 25/10;

3.3.1.1 ASM-VFM difference statistics

The ASM-VFM difference is defined as follow:

dF = $|B_{VFM}|$ - F_{ASM}

Figure 3-3 show the daily mean (circles) and standard deviation (crosses) of dF of the last month for Swarm B respectively.


Figure 3-3: Daily mean and standard deviation values of ASM-VFM residuals (defined as dF=$|B_{VFM}|$-F_{ASM}) for S/C B.

3.3.1.2   Swarm A

Data gaps registered for Swarm Alpha starting from 18 October 2020 at 11:48 UTC, onwards, for all L0, L1A and L1B data products are affected by this issue (see Section 2).

3.3.1.3   Swarm B

The daily peak-to-peak difference around the week stays within [-16,94 - 1,35] nT. Below follow two plots of such differences for current week (Figure 3-4).


Figure 3-4: Error! Reference source not found. S/C B, **Error! Reference source not found.**

3.3.1.4   Swarm C

No data because ASM is switched off.

3.3.2   ASM Instrument parameters: quartz frequency and ASM temperature (ASMAVEC_0)

For S/C A and B, the temperature and quartz frequency behaved as expected.

3.3.3   VFM Instrument parameters: VFM temperatures (MAG_CA)

The VFM instrument parameters important for monitoring the instrument health are the VFM sensor temperatures: T_CDC, T_CSC and T_EU.

For S/C A, B and C, for reported period, the temperatures behaved as expected.

3.3.4 Magnetic time series visual inspection

3.3.4.1 Swarm A

Data gaps registered for Swarm Alpha starting from 18 October 2020 at 11:48 UTC, onwards, for all L0, L1A and L1B data products are affected by this issue (see Section 2).

3.3.4.2 Swarm B

Map plots of magnetic field measurement for week 43 for S/C B can be seen in Figure 3-5 below.

Figure 3-5: S/C B**Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.**

3.3.4.3   Swarm C

Map plots of magnetic field measurement for week 43 for S/C C can be seen in Figure 3-6.

Figure 3-6: S/C C**Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.**

3.3.5   B$_{NEC}$ vs Chaos7 model residuals

The magnetic field measurement is compared to magnetic field estimated from the Chaos7 global geomagnetic field model (only Core and Crustal contributions). Currently in the monitoring routines the external contribution based on Dst index is not taken into account.

Left side of Figure 3-7 and Figure 3-8 show field residuals dB=B$_{NEC}$ - B$_{Chaos}$ (all versus co-latitude in degrees), from top to bottom: 1) Br, 2) Bθ and 3) Bφ.

As a general feature one can see the field residuals to be steady and usually below 50 nT at low and middle latitudes, up to |55| - |60| degrees; then the residual increases at high latitudes because the Chaos model does not take into account the contribution from the external field ([RD. 04]).

Right side of Figure 3-7 and Figure 3-8 show, from top to bottom, the time series on first day of the week of: (1-2-3) residuals of B$_{NEC}$-B$_{Chaos}$ by components, related to S/C A, B and C respectively.

The component most affected by residual spikes and variations is Bθ$_{NEC}$, i.e. the component that shows the variations of the field wrt to co-latitude. At high latitudes, the order of magnitude of the variability is about +/- 200 nT.

3.3.5.1   Swarm A

Data gaps registered for Swarm Alpha starting from 18 October 2020 at 11:48 UTC, onwards, for all L0, L1A and L1B data products are affected by this issue (see Section 2).

### 3.3.5.2   Swarm B

Figure 3-7: S/C B day 19.10: time series of $B_{NEC} - B_{Chaos}$ residuals (right) and $B_{NEC} - B_{Chaos}$ vs colatitude (left).

3.3.5.3   Swarm C

Figure 3-8: S/C C day 19.10: time series of $B_{NEC} - B_{Chaos}$ residuals (right) and $B_{NEC} - B_{Chaos}$ vs colatitude (left).

3.4 Plasma Products

The monitored plasma products are the electron density (Ne) and electron temperature (Te) measured by the EFI-LP instruments. The monitoring of the data is done on different temporal basis (daily, weekly, monthly, yearly) in order to have a comprehensive view on the data quality. Here we report only two examples of the performed data monitoring, which are the most representative of the data quality.

Figures from Figure 3-9 to Figure 3-10 show the weekly profiles of the electron density and temperature as a function of time for the last week of operations. Data have been down sampled from 0.5s to 2min in order to have a clearer representation (grey lines). Also, the 20 minutes moving window average is shown in the figures (black points). From these figures, it is possible to see if there are measurements with large discrepancies from the average behaviour, and their time location. Information on the local magnetic time is reported in the captions.

Figures from Figure 3-11 to Figure 3-12 show the variations of the electron density and temperature as a function of the latitudes in quasi-dipole (QD) coordinate system, during the last week of operation. These analyses are useful to study the dependence of the variables on the QD magnetic coordinate system.

These analyses are shown for the ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) phase orbits, separately.

It is visible that sometimes the electron temperature reaches very high values, exceeding ten thousand Kelvin, particularly at high latitudes. The nature of this feature is currently under investigation.

3.4.1 Plasma time series visual inspection

3.4.1.1 Swarm A

Data gaps registered for Swarm Alpha starting from 18 October 2020 at 11:48 UTC, onwards, for all L0, L1A and L1B data products are affected by this issue (see Section 2).

3.4.1.2 Swarm B

Figure 3-9: The panels show the electron density (left) and temperature (right) weekly time series (grey lines) together with the 20 min moving windows average (black lines). The analysis is made separately for ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) orbits. The average magnetic local time during the week is 2 a.m. for descending phase and 2 p.m. for ascending phase.

3.4.1.3   Swarm C

Figure 3-10: The panels show the electron density (left) and temperature (right) weekly time series (grey lines) together with the 20 min moving windows average (black lines). The analysis is made separately for ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) orbits. The average magnetic local time during the week is 4 a.m. for ascending phase and 4 p.m. for descending phase.

3.4.2   Plasma products latitudinal variations

3.4.2.1   Swarm A

Data gaps registered for Swarm Alpha starting from 18 October 2020 at 11:48 UTC, onwards, for all L0, L1A and L1B data products are affected by this issue (see Section 2).

3.4.2.2   Swarm B

Figure 3-11: The panels shown the electron density (left) and temperature (right) profile as a function of QD Latitudes for the last week of operation. The analysis is made separately for ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) orbits.

3.4.2.3 Swarm C

Figure 3-12: The panels shown the electron density (left) and temperature (right) profile as a function of QD Latitudes for the last week of operation. The analysis is made separately for ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) orbits.

4. Special Investigations

Swarm Alpha downlink has been corrupted. The reason is not confirm and is still under investigation.

End of Document