






# Swarm DISC Weekly Report 2019/29: 2019/07/15 - 2019/07/21



This is the Swarm Data Innovation and Science Cluster (Swarm DISC) Weekly report on Abstract

Swarm products quality, covering the period from 15 July to 21 July 2019.

SW-RP-SER-GS-010 Doc. No

Author Approval

> Martyna Romanowska and Filomena Catapano on behalf

ESA - EOP/GMQ of Swarm DISC Team

Jerome Bouffard

Distribution : ESA/ESRIN EOP-GMQ **ESA/ESTEC Swarm PLSO ESA/ESOC Swarm FOS** 

ESA/ESRIN EOP-GM Swarm MM Swarm DISC Management Team Swarm DISC subcontractors

© Serco S.p.a., Italy, 2019. Proprietary and intellectual rights of Serco S.p.a., Italy are involved in the subject-matter of this material and all manufacturing, reproduction, use, disclosure, and sales rights pertaining to such subject-matter are expressly reserved. This material is submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed and the submitted for a specific purpose and the submitted for a specific purpose as agreed as a specific purpose and the submitted for a specific purpose and the submittin writing, and the recipient by accepting this material agrees that this material will not be used, copied, or reproduced in whole or in part nor its contents (or any part thereof) revealed in any manner or to any third party, except own staff, to meet the purpose for which it was submitted and subject to the terms of the written agreement.







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1

## Page 2 of 24

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

IA	BLE OF COI	VIENIS	∠
1.	INTRODU	CTION	4
1.1		Swarm Data Quality Workshop	
1.2	Curren	t Operational configuration of monitored data:	5
1.3	Recent	evolutions:	5
1.4	Refere	nce documents	5
2.	SUMMAR	Y OF THE OBSERVATIONS	7
2.1		es in the general status of Swarm instruments and Level 1B products quality	
2.2		nt observations of the week 29 (15/07 - 21/07)	
3.	ROUTINE	QUALITY CONTROL	8
3.1		nalysis	
3.2		nd Attitude Products	
		sition Statistics	
		titude observations	
	3.2.2.1	Swarm A	9
	3.2.2.2	Swarm B	10
	3.2.2.3	Swarm C	10
3.3	Magne	tic Products	10
	3.3.1 VF	M-ASM anomaly	10
	3.3.1.1	ASM-VFM difference statistics	10
	3.3.1.2	Swarm A	12
	3.3.1.3	Swarm B	13
	3.3.1.4	Swarm C	13
	3.3.2 AS	M Instrument parameters: quartz frequency and ASM temperature (ASMAVEC_0)	13
	3.3.3 VF	M Instrument parameters: VFM temperatures (MAG_CA)	13
	3.3.4 M	agnetic time series visual inspection	14
	3.3.4.1	Swarm A	14
	3.3.4.2	Swarm B	15
	3.3.4.3	Swarm C	16
	3.3.5 B <sub>N</sub>	EC vs Chaos6 model residuals	16
	3.3.5.1	Swarm A	17
	3.3.5.2	Swarm B	17
	3.3.5.3	Swarm C	17
3.4	Plasma	Products	18
	3.4.1 Pla	asma time series visual inspection	
	3.4.1.1	Swarm A	
	3.4.1.2	Swarm B	19
	3.4.1.3	Swarm C	
	3.4.2 Pla	asma products latitudinal variations	21
	3.4.2.1	Swarm A	21
	3.4.2.2	Swarm B	21
	3.4.2.3	Swarm C	22
,	CDECIAL I	NVESTIGATIONS	22







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 3 of 24

## **AMENDMENT POLICY**

This document shall be amended by releasing a new edition of the document in its entirety. The Amendment Record Sheet below records the history and issue status of this document.

#### **AMENDMENT RECORD SHEET**

ISSUE	DATE	REASON
1.0	27 Sep 2019	First issue







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 4 of 24

#### 1. Introduction

This document refers to the activities carried out in the framework of the ESA Sensor Performance, Products and Algorithms (SPPA) Office [RD.1].

Chapter 1 gives an overview on the outcomes from the annual Swarm Data Quality Workshop and reports the information on the current operational configuration and its future improvements. It also contains the list of used reference documents.

In Chapter 2, the Section 2.1 gives an overview of the general quality status of the Swarm mission instruments and products, while the main observations of the week are summarized in the Section 2.2.

The document also includes information on data quality for the three Swarm spacecraft, inferred from automated HTML quality reports, which are produced on daily basis for each product. If interested in accessing the reports via web or FTP, please contact the Swarm DISC team at the following email address: <swarm@eo-sppa.org>. Such data quality reports represent the main component of the Routine Quality Control performed by ESA SPPA (Chapter 0). A description of the implemented quality checks is given in [RD.2], and references therein.

Based on specific findings of the routine quality control, or requests from other entities (i.e. Swarm Payload Data Ground Segment (PDGS), Flight Operation Segment (FOS), Mission Management, Post-Launch Support Office (PLSO), Expert Support Laboratories (ESL), Quality Working Groups (QWG), and user community), investigations on anomalies can be triggered. Preliminary characterisations on such anomalies are given in Chapter 4.

Information on Swarm Level 1B products can be found in [RD.3].

This weekly report is based on QC methods and diagnostics that tend to be continuously evolved and improved throughout the mission lifetime, reporting on the data quality, product evolutions, and status of the instruments on weekly basis.

#### 1.1 Annual Swarm Data Quality Workshop

The Swarm Data Quality Workshop takes place each year. The 8th Swarm Data Quality Workshop (DQW#8) held in ESA ESRIN from 08 to 12 October 2018.

The main objectives of the workshop were to:

- Provide an overview of Swarm Mission status to the user Community
- Update the data quality status from Magnetic, Electric, GPSR and accelerometer measurements
- Discuss new Swarm-based Scientific results

Besides the usual Cal/Val topics, this Swarm DQW#8 has also allowed to address new technical, scientific and strategic challenges related to:

- Swarm-based Multi-disciplinary applications
- Swarm-based Data processing virtual environments
- Swarm-based Machine Learning methods
- Multi-mission synergies (e.g. with CryoSat, Goce, e-POP, CSES etc.)

The Swarm DQW#8 was an occasion to discuss potential synergetic benefits obtained through collaboration initiatives with ESA's partner agencies and other sensor systems.

A complete summary of the recommendations based on the contributions from Swarm DQW#8 sessions can be found at [RD.6] and [RD.7].







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 5 of 24

## 1.2 Current Operational configuration of monitored data:

Processor				
Name	Version			
L1BOP				
	v3.20p1			
L2-Cat2	v01.18p2			
Products				
Name	Baseline			
L0 inputs	01			
L1B MAGNET and PLASMA	05			
L1B ORBATT and ACCELE	04			
L2-Cat2 EEF*	01			
L2-Cat2 IBI, FAC and TEC	03			
Others				
Input auxiliary files	S/C A, CCDB 0022 (06/03/2019)			
	S/C B, CCDB 0022 (02/01/2019)			
	S/C C, CCDB 0023 (06/03/2019)			
	ADF 0101			
MPPF-CVQ	v03.07 (27/12/2018)			

#### 1.3 **Recent evolutions:**

The L1BOP v03.21 has been delivered on 11/03/2019, and the L2 Cat-2 OP v01.19 has been delivered on 13/03/2019. These new versions of the operational processors contain only the porting to a newer operational system, Red Hat Enterprise Linux 7.5. No evolutions of the processor algorithms are included in this delivery. The PDGS Team has generated the test scenarios in order to compare their outputs with the ones from GMV. The comparison with the L1BOP v03.20 has been performed by the Data Quality Team. Negligible discrepancies have been found, demonstrating that the porting to the newer OS will be transparent to the users.

#### 1.4 Reference documents

The following is a list of documents with a direct bearing on the content of this report. Where referenced in the text, these are identified as RD.n, where 'n' is the number in the list below:

- [RD.1] Sensor Performance, Products and Algorithms (SPPA), PGSI-GSOP-EOPG-TN-05-0025. Version 2.3.
- [RD.2] Swarm MPPF-CVQ Monitoring Baseline Document, ST-ESA-SWARM-MBD-0001, Issue 1.7.
- [RD.3] Swarm Level 1B Product Definition, SW-RS-DSC-SY-0007, Issue 5.13.
- [RD.4] Olsen, N., H. Luhr, C.C. Finlay, T.J. Sabaka, I. Michaelis, J. Rauberg and L. Tøffner-Clausen, The CHAOS-4 geomagnetic field model, Geophys. J. Int. 197, 815–827, 2014
- [RD.5] SW-RP-SER-GS-010\_SPPA\_SwarmWeeklyReport\_201641\_20161010\_20161016.pdf
- [RD.6] https://earth.esa.int/documents/10174/3687590/SWARM-DQW%238-SUMMARY-%26-RECOM-VFINAL.pdf/73683cc8-be21-47f7-a1c3-db4a60a911cb

The use and/or disclosure, etc. of the contents of this document (or any part thereof) is subject to the restrictions referenced on the front page.

<sup>\*</sup> The production of the EEF product is temporarily suspended.







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 6 of 24

 $[RD.7] \ \underline{https://eos.org/meeting-reports/the-swarm-satellite-trio-studies-earth-and-its-environment} \\$ 

[RD.8] https://earth.esa.int/sppa-reports/swarm/instrument1/weekly/2019-04-22/SW-RP-SER-GS-010 SPPA SwarmWeeklyReport 201917 20190422 20190428.pdf







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 7 of 24

## 2. Summary of the observations

# 2.1 Changes in the general status of Swarm instruments and Level 1B products quality

The Langmuir Probes of the EFI instrument on board Swarm Alpha are both set to High gain mode starting from 08/07/2019 at 00:00 UTC up to 22/07/2019 at 00:00 UTC.

Several tests were performed on the Swarm Charlie satellite on 15 July in order to investigate the anomaly that occurred on end of April 2019 (see [RD.8] for more details). During these tests the instruments were switched off and on, causing data gaps for all the L0, L1A, L1B and L2-Cat2 products (see Section 3).

An anomaly occurred on the VFM (Vector Field Magnetometer) on board the Swarm Charlie satellite between 14:09:06 on 15/07/2019 and 13:29:34 UTC on 16/07/2019. As a consequence, the production of L1B Magnetic and Plasma data, and of Level 2 - Cat 2 FAC and IBI data is affected for this time window (see Section 3).

## 2.2 Relevant observations of the week 29 (15/07 - 21/07)

During the monitored week the following events have been found:

On 15/07/2019 an intensive and challenging in-orbit test has been performed on Swarm Alpha following the safe mode experienced in April 2019 (see [RD.8] for more details).







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 8 of 24

## 3. Routine Quality control

## 3.1 Gaps analysis

- Time gaps found in VFMBNOM\_0\_, ASMBVEC\_0\_, GPSBNAV\_0\_ data on day 15/07/2019.
   Periods: 03:59:31-03:59:36, 04:24:02-04:24:12. It affects GPS L1B and magnetic L1A and L1B data.
- During these tests performed on Swarm Charlie on 15/07/209 (see Section 2), the
  instruments were switched off and on, causing the following data gaps for all the L0, L1A,
  L1B and L2-Cat2 products:
  - GPS data from 15/07/2019 between 04:40:01 and 10:00:31 UTC
  - STR data from 15/07/2019 between 04:48:29 and 09:31:57 UTC
  - o ACC data from 15/07/2019 between 04:48:28 and 12:53:32 UTC
  - VFM data from 15/07/2019 between 04:48:27 and 12:43:10 UTC
  - o EFI data between 15/07/2019 at 04:47:40 UTC and 16/07/2019 at 07:20:31 UTC
- Data gap in VFMCNOM\_0\_ between 14:09:06 on 15/07/2019 and 13:29:34 UTC on 16/07/2019. It affects L1B magnetic data.

#### 3.2 **Orbit and Attitude Products**

The relevant parameters that have been monitored are:

- Position difference between calculated Medium Accuracy orbits (MODx\_SC\_1B) and on-board solution (GPSxNAV\_0). Threshold values for such differences have not been assessed yet: we have just monitored the average values and maximum variations within the week. They are reported in tables in the sections below. In addition, some example plots are given from the HTML daily reports. For the time being we evaluated an anomaly should be raised if one (or more) of the following conditions occurs:
  - The average difference on a given day exceeds the position accuracy requirement for the mission (1.5 m),
  - The variability around the average is quite high: standard deviation threshold has been arbitrarily chosen to be twice the position accuracy requirement for the mission (2-sigma = 3 m).
  - O At least 4-5 spikes are observed on a given day, exceeding +/- 50 m.
- Visual inspection of Star Tracker characterisation flags (STRxATT\_1B)
- Deviation of the quaternion norm from unity (deviation threshold =  $\pm$ 10-9)
- Visual inspection of Euler Angles derived from quaternions.









Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 9 of 24

#### 3.2.1 **Position Statistics**

In Figure 3-1 one can see the statistics of the differences between MOD and on-board solution positions for S/C A, B and C respectively. In the third column the maximum differences (maximum negative and maximum positive) are reported. The standard deviation is in the fourth column. Maxima, minima and standard deviations usually refer to the Z component that is often the most disturbed; in case another component is most affected, it will be specified in parentheses. Figure 3-1 shows a cumulative trend of the maximum daily standard deviation for the past 30 days of operations of the MOD-NAV difference, while Figure 3-2 shows the daily maximum difference, in absolute value, of the MOD-NAV difference, always for the past 30 days of operations.



**Figure 3-1:** Plot of the standard deviation of the difference between MOD and NAV solutions for all satellites. Plot covers last month of operation.



**Figure 3-2:** Plot of the maximum difference of the absolute value of the difference between MOD and NAV solutions for all satellites. Plot covers last month of operation.

#### 3.2.2 **Attitude observations**

#### 3.2.2.1 **Swarm A**

Nominal. Nothing to report.







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 10 of 24

#### 3.2.2.2 **Swarm B**

On day 15/07/21019 Flags g set to 255 from 04:24:05 till 04:24:11.

#### 3.2.2.3 **Swarm C**

On day 15/07/21019 Flags q set to 255 from 04:48:29 till 11:05:00.

## 3.3 Magnetic Products

For the magnetic products, the weekly monitoring consists of:

- ASM instrument monitoring: quartz frequency (nominal range: [2.949E7 2.950E7] Hz) and ASM temperature (temperature range shall be: [-30;+50] °C, Rel. Variation shall not exceed: 0.1 °C/sec).
- VFM instrument monitoring: temperatures (Rel. Variation shall not exceed: 0.1 °C/sec).
- Visual inspection of daily time series of magnetic field intensity F, B<sub>NEC</sub> and B<sub>VFM</sub>. Looking for gaps (or zero values in case of MAGx\_LR\_1B products), out-of-threshold values (i.e. exceeding +/- 60000 nT), and other strange features. Map plots of F and B<sub>NEC</sub> for the whole week are then displayed.
- Monitoring of the ASM-VFM known anomaly: visual inspection of |B<sub>VFM</sub>| F taken from MAGx\_CA\_1B products and recording of daily maximum variations and standard deviations. If +/- 1 nT are exceed on a given day, an alert is raised. Map plots of the residuals are shown along with weekly time series of the residuals with and without the "dB\_Sun" correction: in fact, at least a part of the discrepancies found in the measurements between ASM and VFM are modelled through a stray field (dB\_Sun) that is a function of the orientation of the VFM wrt Sun.
- Comparison of magnetic data (B<sub>NEC</sub>) with a model (Chaos6).

#### 3.3.1 **VFM-ASM anomaly**

- S/C A no violations of thresholds.
- S/C B violation of:
  - VFM-ASM residuals threshold on 15/07, 16/07;

#### 3.3.1.1 **ASM-VFM difference statistics**

The ASM-VFM difference is defined as follow:

 $dF = |B_{VFM}| - F_{ASM}$ 

Figure 3-3 and Figure 3-4 show the daily mean (circles) and standard deviation (crosses) of dF of the last month for Swarm A and Swarm B respectively.









Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1

Page 11 of 24



**Figure 3-3:** Daily mean and standard deviation values of ASM-VFM residuals (defined as  $dF=|B_{VFM}|-F_{ASM}$ ) for S/C A.



**Figure 3-4:** Daily mean and standard deviation values of ASM-VFM residuals (defined as  $dF=|B_{VFM}|-F_{ASM}$ ) for S/C B.








Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 12 of 24

#### 3.3.1.2 **Swarm A**

The daily peak-to-peak difference around the week stays within [-0,44 - 0,75] nT. Below follow two plots of such differences for current week (Figure 3-5).



**Figure 3-5:** ASM-VFM residuals for S/C A, during monitoring period 15/07-21/07. In top figure are plotted: difference between |B\_VFM| and F\_ASM (without dB\_Sun correction) (blue colour), and the residuals with dB\_Sun corrections (red colour). In bottom figure residuals are presented on the world map.








Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 13 of 24

#### 3.3.1.3 **Swarm B**

The daily peak-to-peak difference around the week stays within [-10,52 - 9,36] nT. Below follow two plots of such differences for current week (Figure 3-6).



**Figure 3-6:** ASM-VFM residuals for S/C B, during monitoring period 15/07-21/07. In top figure are plotted: difference between |B\_VFM| and F\_ASM (without dB\_Sun correction) (blue colour), and the residuals with dB\_Sun corrections (red colour). In bottom figure residuals are presented on the world map.

#### 3.3.1.4 **Swarm C**

No data because ASM is switched off.

## 3.3.2 **ASM Instrument parameters: quartz frequency and ASM temperature** (ASMAVEC\_0)

For S/C A and B, the temperature and quartz frequency behaved as expected.

### 3.3.3 VFM Instrument parameters: VFM temperatures (MAG\_CA)

The VFM instrument parameters important for monitoring the instrument health are the VFM sensor temperatures: T\_CDC, T\_CSC and T\_EU.

For S/C A, B and C, for reported period, the temperatures behaved as expected.








Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 14 of 24

## 3.3.4 Magnetic time series visual inspection

#### 3.3.4.1 **Swarm A**

Map plots of magnetic field measurement for week 29 for S/C A can be seen in Figure 3-7 below.



**Figure 3-7:** S/C A, world map plots of the geomagnetic field and components measured during monitoring period 15/07-21/07. From top to bottom: B<sub>NEC</sub> components (North, East, and Centre) of magnetic field from VFM measurement, F-magnetic field from ASM measurement.








Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 15 of 24

#### 3.3.4.2 **Swarm B**

Map plots of magnetic field measurement for week 29 for S/C B can be seen in Figure 3-8 below.



**Figure 3-8:** S/C B, world map plots of the geomagnetic field and components measured during monitoring period 15/07-21/07. From top to bottom: F-magnetic field from ASM measurementB<sub>NEC</sub> components (North, East, and Centre) of magnetic field from VFM measurement









Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 16 of 24

#### 3.3.4.3 **Swarm C**

Map plots of magnetic field measurement for week 29 for S/C C can be seen in Figure 3-9.





**Figure 3-9:** S/C C, world map plots of the geomagnetic field and components measured during monitoring period 15/07-21/07. From top to bottom: B<sub>NEC</sub> components (North, East, and Centre) of magnetic field from VFM measurement

#### 3.3.5 **B**<sub>NEC</sub> vs Chaos6 model residuals

The magnetic field measurement is compared to magnetic field estimated from the Chaos6 global geomagnetic field model (only Core and Crustal contributions). Currently in the monitoring routines the external contribution based on Dst index is not taken into account.

Left side of Figure 3-10 and Figure 3-11 show field residuals dB=B<sub>NEC</sub> - B<sub>Chaos</sub> (all versus co-latitude in degrees), from top to bottom: 1) Br, 2) B $\theta$  and 3) B $\phi$ .

As a general feature one can see the field residuals to be steady and usually below 50 nT at low and middle latitudes, up to |55| - |60| degrees; then the residual increases at high latitudes because the Chaos model does not take into account the contribution from the external field ([RD.4]).

Right side of Figure 3-10,

Figure 3-11 show, from top to bottom, the time series on first day of the week of: (1-2-3) residuals of  $B_{NEC}$ - $B_{Chaos}$  by components, related to S/C A, B and C respectively.







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1

Page 17 of 24

The component most affected by residual spikes and variations is  $B\theta_{NEC}$ , i.e. the component that shows the variations of the field wrt to co-latitude. At high latitudes, the order of magnitude of the variability is about +/- 200 nT.

#### 3.3.5.1 **Swarm A**





Figure 3-10: S/C A day 15.07: time series of B<sub>NEC</sub> – B<sub>Chaos</sub> residuals (right) and B<sub>NEC</sub> - B<sub>Chaos</sub> vs colatitude (left).

#### 3.3.5.2 **Swarm B**



**Figure 3-11:** S/C B day 15.07 S/C B day 08/04: time series of  $B_{NEC} - B_{Chaos}$  residuals (right) and  $B_{NEC} - B_{Chaos}$  vs colatitude (left).







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 18 of 24

#### 3.3.5.3 **Swarm C**

No magnetic plot available on day 15/07/2019. See Sections 2 and 3.

#### 3.4 Plasma Products

The monitored plasma products are the electron density (Ne) and electron temperature (Te) measured by the EFI-LP instruments. The monitoring of the data is done on different temporal basis (daily, weekly, monthly, yearly) in order to have a comprehensive view on the data quality. Here we report only two examples of the performed data monitoring, which are the most representative of the data quality.

Figures from Figure 3-12 to Figure 3-14 show the weekly profiles of the electron density and temperature as a function of time for the last week of operations. Data have been down sampled from 0.5s to 2min in order to have a clearer representation (grey lines). Also, the 20 minutes moving window average is shown in the figures (black points). From these figures, it is possible to see if there are measurements with large discrepancies from the average behaviour, and their time location. Information on the local magnetic time is reported in the captions.

Figures from Figure 3-15 to Figure 3-17 show the variations of the electron density and temperature as a function of the latitudes in quasi-dipole (QD) coordinate system, during the last week of operation. These analyses are useful to study the dependence of the variables on the QD magnetic coordinate system.

These analyses are shown for the ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) phase orbits, separately.

It is visible that sometimes the electron temperature reaches very high values, exceeding ten thousands Kelvin, particularly at high latitudes. The nature of this feature is currently under investigation.

## 3.4.1 Plasma time series visual inspection









Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 19 of 24

#### 3.4.1.1 **Swarm A**



**Figure 3-12:** The panels show the electron density (left) and temperature (right) weekly time series (grey lines) together with the 20 min moving windows average (black lines). The analysis is made separately for ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) orbits. The average magnetic local time during the week is 9 a.m. for ascending phase and 9 p.m. for descending phase.

#### 3.4.1.2 **Swarm B**



**Figure 3-13:** The panels show the electron density (left) and temperature (right) weekly time series (grey lines) together with the 20 min moving windows average (black lines). The analysis is made separately for ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) orbits. The average magnetic local time during the week is 5 a.m. for descending phase and 5 p.m. for ascending phase.








Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 20 of 24

#### 3.4.1.3 **Swarm C**

No plasma data are available between 15/07/2019 at 04:47:40 UTC and 16/07/2019 at 07:20:31 UTC due to in-flight test. See Sections 2 and 3 for more details,



**Figure 3-14:** The panels show the electron density (left) and temperature (right) weekly time series (grey lines) together with the 20 min moving windows average (black lines). The analysis is made separately for ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) orbits. The average magnetic local time during the week is 9 a.m. for ascending phase and 9 p.m. for descending phase.








Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 21 of 24


## 3.4.2 Plasma products latitudinal variations

#### 3.4.2.1 **Swarm A**



**Figure 3-15:** The panels shown the electron density (left) and temperature (right) profile as a function of QD Latitudes for the last week of operation. The analysis is made separately for ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) orbits.

## 3.4.2.2 **Swarm B**



**Figure 3-16:** The panels shown the electron density (left) and temperature (right) profile as a function of QD Latitudes for the last week of operation. The analysis is made separately for ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) orbits.








Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 22 of 24

#### 3.4.2.3 **Swarm C**



**Figure 3-17:** The panels shown the electron density (left) and temperature (right) profile as a function of QD Latitudes for the last week of operation. The analysis is made separately for ascending (upper panels) and descending (bottom panels) orbits.







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 23 of 24

## 4. Special Investigations

Nothing to report.







Doc. no: SW-RP-SER-GS-010, Rev: 1 Page 24 of 24

**End of Document**