


ENVISAT - AATSR

CYCLIC REPORT #110

	START	End	
DATE	21st December 2011	20th January 2012	
Тіме	22:04:23	22:04:30	
Orbit #	51308	51739	



A white Christmas as seen in the Tian Shan mountains. This AATSR image was taken on 25 December 2011 during orbit 51355 and is composed of $0.87\mu m$ (Red), $0.67\mu m$ (Blue) and $0.55\mu m$ (Green) data.

QWG team

AATSR IDEAS and
1
0
03 February 2012
Technical Note



APPROVAL

Title <i>titre</i>						0
author <i>auteur</i>	Pauline Cocevar			date 03 l date 201	February 2	
approved by approuvé par				date <i>date</i>		
	СНАІ	NGE LOG				
reason for cha	nge /raison du changement	issue/issue	revision/revision	date/date	9	
			0			
	СНАИС	E RECOR	D			


ISSUE: 1 REVISION: 0

reason for change/raison du changement	paragraph(s)/ paragraph(s)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Α	ATSR CYCLIC REPORT # 110	1
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Acronyms and Abbreviations	. 1
2	SUMMARY	2
3	SOFTWARE & AUX FILE VERSION CONFIGURATION	3
	3.1 Software Version	. 3
	3.2 Auxiliary Files	. 3
	3.2.1 Status of Daily Visible Calibration Files	. 4
	3.2.1.1 VC1 File Availability	. 4
	3.2.2 Status of other Auxiliary Files	. 4
4	PDS STATUS	5
	4.1 Instrument Unavailability	
	4.2 L0 Data Acquisition and L1B Processing Status	
	4.2.1 Orbits Affected by Poor Data Quality	
	4.3 L0 and L1B Backlog Processing Status	
5	DATA QUALITY CONTROL	7
•	5.1 Monitoring of Instrument Parameters	
	5.1.1 Jitter	
	5.1.2 Sensor Temperature	
	5.1.3 Viscal	
	5.1.4 ΝΕΔΤ	
	5.2 User Rejection	
	5.3 Software Problem Reporting	
	5.3.1 Existing SPRs that are still open	
	5.3.2 New SPRs since the last cyclic report	
	5.3.3 Closed SPRs	
	5.4 Monthly Level 3 Products	
6	CALIBRATION/VALIDATION ACTIVITIES & RESULTS	16
-	6.1 Calibration	
	6.2 Validation	
		10
7	DISCLAIMERS	18





AATSR CYCLIC REPORT # 110

1 INTRODUCTION

The AATSR Cyclic Report is distributed by the AATSR IDEAS team to keep the AATSR community informed of any modification regarding instrument performances, the data production chain and the results of calibration and validation campaigns at the end of each Envisat 2010+ cycle, which consists of 431 complete orbits over the course of 30 days.

This document is available online at: http://earth.esa.int/pcs/envisat/aatsr/reports/cyclic/

1.1 Acronyms and Abbreviations

AATSR APC CR DDS DMOP DMS EN-UNA-YYYY/# ESOC GOSTA HSM IDEAS IECF IPF LUT MPS NRT OCM OBDH PDS PMC RAL	Advanced Along Track Scanning Radiometer Antenna Pointing Controller Cyclic Report Data Dissemination System Detailed Mission Operation Plan Data Management System Envisat Unavailability (plus year and number) European Space Operation Centre Global Ocean Surface Temperature Atlas High Speed Multiplexer Instrument Data quality Evaluation and Analysis Service Instrument Engineering and Calibration Facilities Instrument Processing Facilities Look Up Table Mission Planning Schedule Near Real Time Orbit Control Manoeuvre On-board Data Handling Payload Management Computer Rutherford Appleton Laboratory
SPR	Software Problem Reporting
SSR	Solid State Recorder
SW VISCAL	Software Visible Calibration
VIGUAL	

The AATSR list of acronyms and abbreviations is available at the following site: <u>http://envisat.esa.int/dataproducts/aatsr/CNTR5.htm#eph.aatsr.glossary</u>



2 SUMMARY

Cyclic Report:	110	
Cycle Start:	21st December 2011, 22:04:23	Orbit #: 51308
Cycle End:	20th January 2012, 22:04:30	Orbit #: 51739

The main activities during the cycle have been as follows:

ESRIN downtimes and delays

There were no ESRIN downtimes or delays this cycle.

• Kiruna downtimes and delays

- The Kiruna Rolling Archive was not fully populated with data from 05 and 06 Jan 2012 until 12 Jan 2012
- Orbits 51544 and 51545 (07 Jan 2012) were only partially acquired due to antenna problems and cannot be recovered

Unavailabilities

There were no instrument unavailabilities this cycle.

• Forthcoming AATSR outgassing

Due to an ENVISAT payload switch-off on 23 January 2012 at 07:30z, the opportunity will be taken to begin an AATSR outgassing. This is expected to last until 26 January 2012. Exact timings will be reported in the next Cyclic Report.

AATSR will be in STANDBY mode, and no channels will be available during this time.



3 SOFTWARE & AUX FILE VERSION CONFIGURATION

3.1 Software Version

AATSR IPF for Level 1 and Level 2: Version 6.03

AATSR L2P Processor: Version 1.5.

3.2 Auxiliary Files

AATSR processing uses the following auxiliary files:

Browse Product Lookup Data	(ATS_BRW_AX)
L1b Characterisation Data	(ATS_CH1_AX)
Cloud Lookup Table Data	(ATS_CL1_AX)
General Calibration Data	(ATS_GC1_AX)
AATSR Instrument Data	(ATS_INS_AX)
Visible Calibration Coefficients Data	(ATS_VC1_AX)
L1b Processing Configuration Data	(ATS_PC1_AX)
L2 Processing Configuration Data	(ATS_PC2_AX)
SST Retrieval Coefficients Data	(ATS_SST_AX)
LST Land Surface Temperature Coefficients Data	(ATS_LST_AX)

Because the PC1 file contains the orbit period, two versions now need to be maintained after the mission extension orbit manoeuvres.

The latest filename for each auxiliary file in use in the PDS is as follows:

Product name
ATS_BRW_AXVIEC20020123_072338_20020101_000000_20200101_000000
ATS_CH1_AXVIEC20070720_093530_20020301_000000_20200101_000000
ATS_CL1_AXVIEC20101015_104659_20020301_000000_20200101_000000
ATS_GC1_AXVIEC20070720_093834_20020301_000000_20200101_000000
ATS_INS_AXVIEC20070720_094014_20020301_000000_20200101_000000
See below for VC1 files
ATS_LST_AXVIEC20101018_094830_20020301_000001_20200101_000000
ATS_PC1_AXVIEC20101015_101827_20020301_000000_20101021_235959
ATS_PC1_AXVIEC20101015_100604_20101022_000000_20200101_000000
ATS_PC2_AXVIEC20020123_074151_20020101_000000_20200101_000000
ATS_SST_AXVIEC20051205_102103_20020101_000000_20200101_000000

Table 3-1 Latest auxiliary files currently in use by the PDS



3.2.1 STATUS OF DAILY VISIBLE CALIBRATION FILES

3.2.1.1 VC1 File Availability

All daily reflectance channel calibration files were available during this cycle, however no files were disseminated on 09 January 2012 due to IECF problems. The situation is now nominal.

3.2.2 STATUS OF OTHER AUXILIARY FILES

No auxiliary files changed during this cycle.



4 PDS STATUS

4.1 Instrument Unavailability

All AATSR instrument data was available this cycle.

4.2 L0 Data Acquisition and L1B Processing Status

	Week	Or	bit	Ava	ailability	/ (s)	Av	ailability (%)
#	Dates	Start	Stop	Inst Unav	L0 gaps	L1 gaps	Instrument	LO	L1
1	21/12/2011 22:04:23	51308	51394	0	0	0	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
2	27/12/2011 21:44:22	51394	51480	0	0	0	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
3	02/01/2012 21:24:20	51480	51567	0	4584	8626	100.00%	99.12%	97.45%
4	08/01/2012 22:44:33	51567	51653	0	0	0	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
5	14/01/2012 22:24:31	51653	51739	0	0	0	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Table 4-1 Instrument and data unavailability weekly summary for Cycle 110

The instrument was available for 100% of the time during the cycle.

The L0 data were available for 99.82% of the time during the cycle.

The L1b data were available for 99.49% of the time during the cycle.

The following L0 data were missing from this cycle:

UTC Start	UTC Start UTC Stop		Orbit Start	Orbit End
07/01/2012 08:45:09	07/01/2012 10:01:33	4584	51544	51544

Table 4-2 ATS_NL__0P missing data during Cycle 110

Data missing at L0 are also missing at L1B. The following L1B data were additionally missing from this cycle:

UTC Start	UTC Stop	Duration (s)	Orbit Start	Orbit End
03/01/2012 22:17:32	03/01/2012 23:55:28	5876	51494	51495
07/01/2012 07:59:19	07/01/2012 08:45:09	2750	51543	51544

Table 4-3 ATS_TOA_1P missing data during Cycle 110

4.2.1 ORBITS AFFECTED BY POOR DATA QUALITY

The information reported in Section 4.2 does not consider the quality of the data, only whether or not it is available.

During this cycle, the following orbits contained frames suffering from bad/missing telemetry:

• 51543 - 45 (07 Jan 2012)



The cloud-clearing algorithm failed on the following orbits during this cycle:

- 51306 (21 Dec 2011), NE Pacific Ocean
- 51309,17 (22 Dec 2011), East China Sea and close to Vietnam
- 51330 (23 Dec 2011), Philippine Sea
- 51362 (25 Dec 2011), Gulf of Mexico
- 51367,70 (26 Dec 2011), near Malaysia and South Pacific
- 51421 (29 Dec 2011), NE Pacific
- 51439 (31 Dec 2011), close to Vietnam
- 51459 (01 Jan 2012), Tasman Sea
- 51518 (05 Jan 2012), south of Australia
- 51556 (08 Jan 2012), SE Pacific
- 51601 (11 Jan 2012), south Pacific
- 51615 (12 Jan 2012), south Pacific
- 51643 (14 Jan 2012), equatorial Pacific

4.3 L0 and L1B Backlog Processing Status

There is no update available on the status of backlog processing.



5 DATA QUALITY CONTROL

5.1 Monitoring of Instrument Parameters

5.1.1 JITTER




Figure 5-1 Jitter trend from mission start




Figure 5-2 Jitter trend since the mission extension

The plots show the jitter-trend since the start of the mission and since the recent mission extension, against both orbit-number and cycle-number. The mean jitter-rate (per-orbit) is shown in blue and the maximum rate per orbit in red. The green horizontal line shows the nominal mean jitter-level achieved for much of the mission.

The jitter plot for the extended mission shows no significant sustained change in the mean jitter-rate variation over this cycle compared to that in recent cycles.



5.1.2 SENSOR TEMPERATURE

The detector temperature plots for Cycle 110 can be found at: http://www.aatsrops.rl.ac.uk/EDSX/CyclePlots/DetTemps110.pdf

Detector temperatures have been nominal throughout this cycle.

5.1.3 VISCAL

NRT calibration quality for the AATSR reflectance channels has been maintained throughout the cycle. The list of "orbital" VC1 files delivered for this cycle can be found at: <u>http://www.aatsrops.rl.ac.uk/EDSX/CyclePlots/VC-110.txt</u>

5.1.4 NE∆T

Information on the NE Δ T for Cycle 110 is shown in Table 5-1. Figure 5-3 shows the trend since launch.

	Hot BB		Cold BB	
	T=301.48		T=262.67	
	Count	NE∆T (mK)	Count	NEAT (mK)
12µm	1.61	33.6	1.20	34.9
11µm	1.54	31.5	1.13	33.9
3.7µm	2.52	31.7	1.21	75.8

Table 5-1 NE∆T information for 20 January 2012 (Cycle 110)






Figure 5-3 Time series of NE Δ T since launch

5.2 User Rejection

There were no user rejections during this cycle.

5.3 Software Problem Reporting

This section describes the new and open SPRs, their potential impact on the data quality, and any SPRs that have been closed.

5.3.1 EXISTING SPRS THAT ARE STILL OPEN

The following SPRs are still open:

Wrong REF_DOC in MPH of AATSR products NA-PR-10-05334

As a result of the AMALFI-2 pilot project, it has been discovered that the REF_DOC field in the MPH of AATSR products is different from the product specification name.

1) The REF_DOC should follow "AA-BB-CCC-DD-EEEE_V/I", 23 characters where



AA-BB-CCC-DD-EEEE is the ESA standard document number and V/I is the volume/issue.

2) The referenced product spec is still 3/K. whilst the one applicable, and also referenced in the SRN of 6.03 is 4/A.

AATSR Child Products contain insufficient number of ADS records NA-PR-08-03912

The number of ADS records present in AATSR child products is insufficient for processing of the entire product. Users are currently advised to order products of at least 1 granule longer to obtain all required ADS records. Excluding the SQADS and the scan pixel x and y ADS, the DPM requires that for AATSR full resolution products, the number of records in the ADS shall be one greater than the number of MDS granules in the product. Child products are currently produced with a number of ADS records equal to the number of MDS granules in the product. In the case of the SQADS, this is sampled only every 512 rows, rather then every 32, so in order to provide coverage for every granule in a child product, the number of SQADS records strictly required depends on the length of the child product and where the child product starts in relation to the 512 record boundaries. Parent products by definition start on a 512 record boundary, but child products need not. If we define a product segment of 512 consecutive rows (=16 granules) as a frame, then the number of SQADS records required in the child product is equal to the number of frames overlapped by the child product. For the case of the Scan Pixel x and y ADS, the records represent instrument scans, not image rows. There is no simple algorithm to define the number of records from the parent product that should be included in the child product.

Update to AATSR Child product generation requirements

NA-PR-08-04015

The 'Child Product Generation Requirements' on pages 520-521 of the document 'PDS Technical Specification for Maintenance and Evolution' (PO-RF-CSF-GS-20437) currently reads:

"For time extraction, for each data set in the parent product, the time stamp of the DSRs shall be compared to that of the requested start time (t0) segment. The first DSR extracted from each data set to form the new child data set is the one with a time stamp immediately preceding or equal to t0. The last DSR extracted from each DS is the one immediately preceding t1."

To ensure that a sufficient number of Auxiliary Data Set Records are present in AATSR child products, the requirement should be changed to read as follows:

"For time extraction, for each data set in the parent product, the time stamp of the DSRs shall be compared to that of the requested start time (t0) segment. The first DSR extracted from each data set to form the new child data set is the one with a time stamp immediately preceding or equal to t0. The last DSR extracted from each DS is the one immediately preceding t1.

For AATSR data, the last ADS DSR extracted from each DS is the one whose time label is equal to or greater than t1 provided such a DSR exists, otherwise the last ADS DSR in the product."



Processing of L1/L2 fails with product

ATS_NL__0PNPDE20100515_214836_000061722089_00272_42911_1524.N1 IDEAS-PR-10-05411

The problem does not occur in prototype, but in PDGS operational chain and in Gamme validation platform. Processing the following L0 product to L1 and L2 fails ATS_NL__0PNPDE20100515_214836_000061722089_00272_42911_1524.N1. Please consider that same error occurs also IN GAMME test environment.

19.08.2010 -Feedback from ELCA:"There is just a debug option that has to be removed from the optimization options while building AATSR IPF. When building the IPF with the correct options this error does not occur and the processing completes and generates L1/L2 products."

AATSR MPH OSV field does not agree with SPH auxiliary filename

IDEAS-PR-11-05568

We are noticing that, on occasion, the OSV source field in the MPH does not agree with the auxiliary data file name given in the SPH. For example: (1) in product ATS_TOA_1PRUPA20110527_222624_000065273103_00029_48319_8139.N1, the MPH gives the OSV source as "FR", while the SPH reports that the file used was actually an FPO file

(AUX_FPO_AXVPDS20110528_102115_20110527_190825_20110606_212212); (2) in product

ATS_TOA_1PNPDE20110526_021402_000066813103_00003_48293_4416.N1, the MPH gives the OSV source as "FP", while the SPH reports that the file used was actually an FRO file

(AUX_FRO_AXVPDS20110528_102115_20110524_221000_20110527_005000). Note that this does not always happen, but seems to be related to when files are processed using a non-anticipated file type, but not in every instance. ELCA's analysis: "The solution is to compute in output product's MPH the OSV value based on the orbit file passed in the job order instead of using the L0 MPH's value."

AATSR: Reduce the logging noise by removing the warning on jitter IDEAS-PR-11-05587

The requirement is that the scan jitter warnings are disabled (this information is present in the products themselves, and we are aware and monitoring jitter levels from the operational data anyway). There are numerous warnings of this type, even in the logs from a successful processing run, so they prevent the log from being easily read to diagnose any problems.

We see this also useful for PDGS, since the logging size will reduce.

It is agreed that this change shall be included in the IPF version for the reprocessing.

AATSR: AATSR products non conformance to FODP

IDEAS-PR-11-05594

From O&M: PBI00000004179: The AATSR Flight Operations and Data Plan (FODP), PO-PL-ESA-AT-0152, Issue 2 Revision 5 dated 22 November 2001 defines the meaning of "consolidated" in Appendix B.1 as follows: "... time-ordered, no overlap nor data gap except when the instrument is not operated ...", and for



Level 0 there should be sufficient overlap only so that the higher level products can be chopped "... ANX to ANX ...". The FODP is part of the high level agreement between ESA and Defra and so can be taken as the definitive requirement for AATSR products. We would like to enquire as to the current definition applied to consolidated products and ask that a change be proposed and the impact of such a change evaluated.

This PBI is a copy of the PBI1161. The PBI1161 was corrected via a CRQ but the delivery introduced other problem so the CRQ was discarded. Consequently, the problem described by PBI1161 is still present.

5.3.2 NEW SPRS SINCE THE LAST CYCLIC REPORT

There were no new SPRS opened since the last Cyclic Report.

5.3.3 CLOSED SPRS

There were no SPRs closed since the last Cyclic Report.

5.4 Monthly Level 3 Products

The following plots have been generated from the available Meteo products acquired for December 2011. These consist of 523 products from orbits 51007 to 51451. Figure 5-5, Figure 5-6, Figure 5-7 and Figure 5-8 show the SST average in dual and nadir views, the standard deviation and the number of contributory orbits for December 2011. Figure 5-9 and Figure 5-10 show anomalies of the monthly averages from an SST climatology. Please note that individual colour scales for each plot are not available, however the scheme used is given in Figure 5-4, and the data ranges of each plot are specified in the accompanying caption.





Figure 5-4 This is the colour scheme used for the following plots, running linearly from left to right with increasing magnitude




Figure 5-5 Monthly average Dual View SST, with a data range of 270 - 305 Kelvin for December 2011

Figure 5-6 Monthly average Nadir View SST, with a data range of 270 – 305 Kelvin for December 2011






Figure 5-7 Standard deviation of the monthly average SST with a colour key range of 0 to 5 K, and a maximum value of 10 K for December 2011




Figure 5-8 Number of contributory orbits to the calculation of the SST, with a colour key range of 0 to 25 (maximum value), for December 2011

Figure 5-7 displays some blocks of high value in the eastern Pacific Ocean off the coast of North America. These can be directly related to cloud-clearing failures in this region and are also evident in Figure 5-9 and Figure 5-10. Orbits affected by cloud-clearing failures from Cycle 110 are listed in Section 4.2.1. Any orbit which is found to have exhibited a cloud-clearing failure is also mentioned in the AATSR Daily Report at: <u>http://earth.eo.esa.int/pcs/envisat/aatsr/reports/daily/</u>



Monthly SST anomaly maps, referenced to the GOSTA climatology dataset, are now being produced (beginning at Cyclic Report #106). Figure 5-9 and Figure 5-10 display the SST anomalies for dual- and nadir-view SSTs for December 2011, respectively. The anomaly scale runs from -10 K (blue) to +10 K (red).




Figure 5-9 Anomaly map of Dual View SST for December 2011





Figure 5-10 Anomaly map of Nadir View SST for December 2011





6 CALIBRATION/VALIDATION ACTIVITIES & RESULTS

6.1 Calibration

No calibration results were reported during this cycle.

6.2 Validation

The Met Office has validated the AATSR dual-view SST data using the global network of *in situ* drifting buoy SST data; the results for Cycle 110 being shown in Figure 6-1. The updated SST coefficients released in December 2005 were used in the AATSR SST retrievals.




Figure 6-1 Comparison of daily mean difference between 10[°] AATSR SST values and *in situ* drifting buoy SST for Cycle 110. Data provided by the Met Office

During cycle 110, there were 1134 night time match-ups, with a mean (UL derived dualview skin SST minus buoy SST) of -0.03 K, standard deviation 0.26 K, and a mean (dualview depth SST minus buoy SST) of +0.10 K, standard deviation 0.24 K. A total of 1066 daytime match-ups were found, with a mean (UL derived dual-view skin SST minus buoy SST) of +0.03 K, standard deviation 0.30 K, and a mean (dual-view depth SST minus buoy SST) of +0.17 K, standard deviation 0.30 K. As these data are comparisons of a single point buoy measurement against a much larger spatially averaged value they are not a true indicator of AATSR's accuracy and are used to show consistency of data quality between cycles.






Figure 6-2 Plot of daily number of match-ups between 10[°] AATSR SST values and *in situ* buoy SST for Cycle 110. Data provided by the Met Office




Figure 6-3 Map showing global distribution of match-ups between 10[°] AATSR SST values and *in situ* buoy SST for Cycle 110. The cyan dots indicate a match-up to a drifting buoy. Data provided by the Met Office



7 DISCLAIMERS

No new disclaimers have been issued during this cycle.